

On Feb. 24th, Sunday afternoon I visited the European Center and had an interview with Dr. Chkl., who told me of the recent developments which he has reported to Mme de Roerich and the Trustees in his weekly letters, as stated by him. He seemed to be very optimistic about the situation with the Pact and read to me a letter from Mr. Marin where the latter expresses his regret that the Pact was not as yet ratified by his Govt., as he would have liked it to be a "cadeau pour le Nouvel An". In regard to malevolent influences Mr. Chkl. mentioned only the Institute of Intellectual Coop., and one by the name of Basdevant, an international lawyer, who has recently attacked the Pact while a friend of de Lapradelle read paragraph on the Pact, inserted by him in his doctor thesis.

In regard to the rus. papers in Paris, Dr. Chkl. had nothing new to report, except that Gortchak., who is infuriated because his article based on the slander of the Harb. papers was not printed by "Vozrojdienie", intends issuing a brochure containing this same article of his... I have understood from Dr. Chkl. that the latter has threatened him that in case he will publish this slanderous material, a libel suit will be instituted against him. He has heard nothing since then, but this brochure remains still pending. While seeing Mme de Vaux Phalipau, who was au courant of everything that appeared in the Harb. papers, at which I was rather astonished...

On Feb. 25th, I invited Dr. Chkl. for dinner at which time he showed himself again very optimistic about the situation with the Pact there. On both interviews however he had nothing tangible to offer as facts, there were only dim promises.

On the 26th I had an interview with Mme de Vaux alone and have learned from her many interesting details and facts which I was not told before. From the secretary of Mr. Mar., Mme de Vaux has learned that there was a letter addressed to him by Mr. Lav., refusing to ratify, saying that it is a "private undertaking" etc. This letter Dr. Chkl. did not show to Mme V. and did not mention to me anything about it. Dr. Chkl. has reproached to her why she has shown me this letter, to which she has justly replied that in order to alter things one must know the truth, and this is why she has shown me the letter. She was further told by the secretary of Mr. Marin that Dr. Chkl. should stop his visits to their department, meaning that of Lav., because "ils ne veulent plus le voir"... intimating to her that he has overdone in going there too often and that as the things stand now, Mr. Mar. thinks that steps will be futile. I have thoroughly discussed this with Mme de V. and have suggested that until April 15th, Dr. Chkl. should stop visiting them but that after the signing new steps can be taken which will show them all the absurdity of their still speaking of a "private enterprise"... that new means and ways will be found with new formulae. Mme de V. was very happy to hear of the opening of the world treaty, this fact was GREAT NEWS TO HER AS WELL AS TO BARON de T., since Dr. Chkl. did not tell it to them.

On the same afternoon Mme de V., Baron de T., and Dr. Chkl. and myself had a meeting about the Pact and both Mme de V. and Baron de T. were happy with the solution I have offered above, as they both felt that to continue the way Dr. Chkl. has done thus far, was useless. At the same meeting we have taken up the question of the Expedition and the articles which have appeared in the Harb. Tim. I must say that inasmuch as both Mme V and the Baron were au courant of all the negative things, they did NOT KNOW ANYTHING POSITIVE and important for them to know in order to be equipped to give adequate answers to friends and enemies. They did not know that the Exped. is working on behalf of the Dept of Agric., despite the clippings which were sent to Dr. Chkl., who did not deny that he had some, but all he could say is that "they went to gather herbs to the Gobi desert"... but nothing else.



Both Baron de T. and Mme de V. were elated to hear all I had to tell them of the great work of the Exped. and of how Mr. W. deems it a great honour to have at the head of the Exped. such an illustrious authority as N.K. and I have given them details and have promised to send clippings or a compte rendu about the Exped. to Mme de V. who said that the French scientific papers will be most interested to publish such a compte rendu, which she is going to place herself. There were amazed to hear all the wonderful news about this great work which N.K. and G. are doing, because they knew nothing about it. Dr. Chkl. was silent during our conversation.

Speaking with Mme de V. about the financial situation, she mentioned that it would be good if we would offer from New-York to Dr. Chkl. to give only part time to the work and the other part of his free time to work for himself. If You approve of it, Beloved Mme de Roerich, we shall write to Dr. Chkl. that since his entire salary cannot be guaranteed now, as \$330.- will be impossible to send monthly for the time being, that the following sum will be guaranteed until better times will come, and they are approaching, and part of his time he can dedicate to his own work, we shall quote a sum based upon the budget which he gave me. We shall NOT UNDERTAKE THIS unless You will approve of it. Kindly let me know what Your opinion on this matter is and then we shall act accordingly. Mme de V. was quite content with the idea of knowing what the nominal sum the Museum can send them now monthly so as to cover the most essential expences, and then when better times will come everything will change. She has likewise told me that she has not told anybody that she intends resigning, in her heart she loves the work and would hate to see anybody taking her place, as she had done her share of work which she loves, in her own way and manne

She has likewise told me that she as well as the Baron find in the reports of Dr. Chkl. things which they have accomplished, but which he ascribes to himself. Said she: "cela commence avec 'je' et 'moi' et cela finit avec 'je' et 'moi'"... As stated before in my letter to You, Beloved Mme de Roerich, she greatly resents that "il ne dit pas la verité", objecting to his not telling the facts as they are and not telling all the facts.

Baron de T. revealed to me that Mr. Basdevant is a great personal enemy of de Laprad., professional jealousy, as the latter has succeeded him in his former post, by competing, hence is this Basdevant an enemy of the Pact. He is closely connected with the large Institution in the City, where my sister lives (Geneva). This will explain many things to You. It appears that Mr. de Laprad, has stood up for the Pact beautifully, when this man has attacked the thesis where the Pact was mentioned, as I wrote to You.

Baron de T. also told me what beautiful formulae Dr. James Brown Scott (Pres. of the Amer. Inst. of Intern. Law & Director Carnegie Endowm. for Intern. Peace) has for the Pact. He saw him in Europe last summer and Scott told him in very high terms about the "grandiose Pact" and how much he has enjoyed cooperating with us.

I hope, Revered Mme de Roerich, that You will approve of a suggestion I gave in Paris to Mme de V. in regard to holding an exhibition of russ. books for children - fairy-tales. At a former exhibition of Russ. books, which I understand was very successful, all the Paintings of Prof. de Roerich were taken off the walls for two or three weeks. This time I have suggested not to do it, as it means really closing the Museum Branch, but to arrange the exhib. in a manner as not to take off the Paintings from the walls in the main room, giving them the wall space in the second room and putting in the main room a sort of stand in the middle of the room, which is easily done and which will not spoil the Museum room. Mme de V. found this suggestion very appropriate, so did the organisers of the exhibition.



Miss E.J.Lichtmann's report on her visit to Paris, February 24th to 26th 195

\*\*\*\*\*

On February 24th, Sunday afternoon I visited the European Center and had an interview with Dr. Chkl. who told me of the recent developments which he has reported to Mme de Roerich and the Trustees in his weekly letters, as stated by him. He seemed to be very optimistic about the situation with the Pact and read to me a letter from Mr. Marin where the latter expresses his regret that the Pact was not as yet ratified by his Gov. as he would have liked it to be a "cadeau pour le Nouvel An". In regard to malevolent influences Mr. Chkl. mentioned only the Institute of Intellectual Coop. and one by the name of Basdevant, an international lawyer, who has recently attacked the Pact while a friend of de Lapradelle read a paragraph on the Pact, inserted by him in his doctor thesis.

In regard to the rus. papers in Paris, Dr. Chkl. had nothing new to report, except that Gortchakov, who is infuriated because his article based on the slander of the Harb. papers was not printed by "Vozrojdienie", intends issuing a brochure containing this same article of his... I have understood from Dr. Chkl. that the latter has threatened him that in case he will publish this slanderous material, a libel suit will be instituted against him. He has heard nothing since then, but this brochure remains still pending. While seeing Mme de Vaux Phalipau, who was au courant of everything that appeared in the Harb. papers, at which I was rather astonished... I have related to her the facts about Gortchakov, which Mme de Roerich was kind to tell me. His animosity to Prof. R. which is of such long standing, illumined to Mme de Vaux the situation, as well as many other facts which I have related to her in connection with the sandrous attacks.

On February 25th I invited Dr. Chkl. for dinner at which time he showed himself again very optimistic about the situation with the Pact there. On both interviews however he had nothing tangible to offer as facts, there were only dim promises.

Have discussed at length the financial situation with Dr. Chkl. and h



have warned him against Guethner's claims, as it happened in the past that he has billed twice. Chkl. said that Guethner claims that some books were ordered by G. for Urusvati, which has to be verified also.

On the 26th I had an interview with Mme de Vaux alone and have learned from her many interesting details and facts which I was not told before. From the secretary of Mr. Mar. Mme de Vaux has learned that there was a letter addressed to him by Mr. Lav. refusing to ratify, saying that it is a "private undertaking" etc. This letter Lepetit did not show to Mme V. and did not mention to me anything about it. Lepetit has reproached to her why she has shown me this letter, to which she has justly replied that in order to alter things one must know the truth, and this is why she has shown me the letter. She was further told by the secretary of Mr. Mar. that Lepetit

should stop his visits to their department, meaning that of Lav. because "ils ne veulent plus le voir"... intimating to her that he has overdone in going there too often and that as the things stand now, Mr. Mar. thinks that steps will be futile. I have thoroughly discussed this with Mme de V. and have suggested that until April 15th, Lepetit should stop visiting them but that after the signing new steps can be taken which will show them all the absurdity of their still speaking of a "private enterprise".... that new means and ways will be found with new formulae. Mme de V. was very happy to hear of the opening of the world treaty, this fact was GREAT NEWS TO HER AS WELL AS TO BARON de T., since Lepetit did not tell it to them. ~~in the~~

On the same afternoon Mme de V. Baron de T. and Dr. Chkl. and myself had a meeting about the Pact and both Mme de V. and Baron de T. were happy with the solution I have offered above, as they both felt that to continue the way Lepetit has done thus far, was useless. At the same meeting we have taken up the question of the Expedition and the articles which have appeared in the Harb. Tim. I must say that inasmuch as both Mme V. and the Baron were au courant of all the negative things, they did NOT KNOW ANYTHING POSITIVE and important for them to know in order to be equipped to give ade-



quate answers to friends and enemies. They did not know that the Exped. is working on behalf of the Dept. of Agric. despite the clippings which were sent to Lepetit, who did not deny that he had some, but all he could say is that "they went to gather herbs to the Gobi desert".... but nothing else. Both Baron de T. and Mme de V. were elated to hear all I had to tell them of the great work of the Exped. and of how Mr. W. deems it a great honour to have at the head of the Exped. such an illustrious authority as N.K. and I have given them details and have promised to send clippings or a compte rendu about the Exped to Mme de V. who said that the French scientific papers will be most interested to publish such a compte rendu, which she is going to place herself. They were amazed to hear all the wonderful news about this great work which N.K. and G. are doing, because they knew nothing about it. Lepetit was silent during our conversation.

Speaking with Mme de V. about the financial situation, she mentioned that it would be good if we would offer from New York to Lepetit to give only part time to the work and the other part of his free time to work for himself. She told me confidentially that she finds that he wastes a great deal of time going around TOO OFTEN to the various representatives, that "these frequent visits are done because he LIKES THIS KIND OF WORK, but that she finds that these visits, when repeated so often, lack dignity, and lead nowhere ...". If You approve of it Beloved Mme de Roerich, we shall write to Lepetit that since his entire salary cannot be guaranteed now, as \$330.- will be impossible to send monthly for the time being, that the following sum will be guaranteed until better times will come, and they are approaching, and part of his time he can dedicate to his own work, we shall quote a sum based upon the budget which he gave me. We shall NOT UNDERTAKE THIS unless You will approve of it. Kindly let us know what Your opinion on this matter is and then we shall act accordingly. Mme de V. was quite content with the idea of knowing what the nominal sum the Museum can send them now monthly so as to cover the most essential expences, and then when better times



times will come everything will change. She has likewise told me that she has not told to anybody that she intends resigning, in her heart she loves the work and would hate to see anybody taking her place, as she has done her share of work which she loves, in her own way and manner.

She has likewise told me that she as well as the Baron find ~~unpleasant~~ in the reports of Lepetit things which they have accomplished, but which he ascribes to himself. Said she: "Cela commence avec 'je' et 'moi' et cela finit avec 'je' et 'moi'..." --- As stated before in my letter to You, Beloved Mme de Roerich, she greatly resents that "il ne dit pas la verite", objecting to his not telling the facts as they are and not telling all the facts.

Baron de T. revealed to me that the Mr. Basdevant, is a great personal enemy of de Laprad, professional jealousy, as the latter ~~was~~ succeeded him in his former post, by competing, hence is this Basdevant an enemy of the Pact. He is closely connected with the large Institution in the City where my sister lives. This will explain many things to You. It appears that Mr. de Laprad. has stood up for the Pact beautifully, when this man has attacked the thesis where the Pact was mentioned, as I wrote to You.

Baron de T. also told me what beautiful formulae <sup>shown</sup> Scott has for the Pact. He saw him in Europe last summer and Scott told him in very high terms about the "grandiose Pact" and how much he has enjoyed cooperating with us.

I hope, Revered Mme de Roerich that You will approve of a suggestion I gave in Paris to Mme de V. in regard to holding an exhibition of russ. ~~books~~ books for children - fairy tales. At a former exhibition of Russ. books, which I understand was very successful, all the Paintings of Prof. de Roerich were taken off the walls for two or three weeks. This time I have suggested not to do it, ~~but~~ as it means really closing the Museum Branch, but to arrange the exhib. in a manner as not to take off the Paintings from the walls in the main room, giving them the wall space in the second room, and putting in the main room a sort of stand in the middle of the room which is easily done and which will not spoil the Museum room. Mme de V. found this suggestion very appropriate, so did the organisers of the exhibition.