Please send This tom given a Tana.

SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, First Department, March, 1938.

Francis Martin, P.J., James O'Malley, Alfred H. Townley, Edward J. Glennon, Irwin Untermyer, JJ.

Nicholas Roerich, Helena Roerich, Maurice M. Lichtmann, Nima : Lichtmann and Frances R. Grant, Appellants, :

Vo.

5132

Louis L. Horch, Nettie S. Horch, and Master Institute of United Arts, Inc., Respondents.

Appeal by the plaintiffs from a judgment of the Supreme Court,

New York County, entered on a decision dismissing the

complaint on the merits; and by the plaintiff Nicholas

Roerich from that portion of said judgment adjudging that

the defendant Louis L. Horch recover of the plaintiff

Nicholas Roerich the sum of \$210,951.96, on the counter
claims of said defendant against said plaintiff.

Herbert Plaut, of counsel (Harold Davis with him on the brief; Plaut & Davis, attorneys) for appellants.

Edward J. Chapman, of counsel (Jonas J. Shapiro and Harold H. Stern with him on the brief; Greenbaum, Wolff & Ernst, attorneys) for respondents.

Judgment affirmed with costs. No opinion. (O'Malley, J., dissenting; dissenting opinion by O'Malley, J.)
O'MALLEY, J. (Dissenting):

The indisputable documentary evidence bearing on the main issues presented was of such a character that a finding in favor of plaintiffs was required (Duryea V. Zimmerman, 143 App.Div. 60, 68; Susquehanna Silk Mills v. Jacobson, 185 App. Div. 378, 383; see also Bernstein v. Keitzer, 253 N.Y. 410, 416.) I, therefore, dissent and vote to reverse and grant judgment for the plaintiffs as prayed for in the complaint and to dismiss the counterclaim.

SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION First Department, March, 1938.

Francis Martin, P.J., Jame s O'Malley, Alfred H. Townley, Edward J. Glennon, Irwin Untermyer, JJ.

Nicholas Roerich, Helena Roerich, Maurice M. Lichtmann, Sina Lichtmann and Frances R. Grant, Appellants,

VS.

Louis L. Horch, Nettie S. Horch, and Master Institute of United Arts, Inc., Respondents. 5182

Appeal by the plaintiffs from a judgement of the Supreme Court, New York

County, entered on a decision dismissing the complaint on the merits;

and by the plaintiff Nicholas Roerich from that portion of said judgment adjudging that the defendant Louis L. Horch recover of the plaintiff Nicholas Roerich the sum of \$210,951.96, on the counterclaims of of said defendant against said plaintiff.

Herbert Plaut, of counsel (Harold Davis with him on the brief; Plaut & Davis, attorneys) for appellants.

Edward J. Chapman, of counsel (Jonas J. Shapiro and Harold H. Stern with him on the brief; Greenbaum, Wolff & Ernst, attorneys) for respondents.

Judgement affirmed with costs. No opinion. (O'Malley, J., dissenting; dissenting opinion by O'Malley, J.)

O'MALLEY, J. (Dissenting):

The indisputable documentary evidence bearing on the main issues presented was of such a character that a finding in favor of plaintiffs was required (Duryea V. Zimmerman, 143 App. Div. 60, 68; Susquehanna Silk Mills v. Jacobson, 185 App. Div. 378, 383; see also Bernstein v. Keitzer, 253 N.Y. 410, 416.) I, therefore, dissent and vote to reverse and grant judgement for the plaintiffs as prayed for in the complaint and to dismiss the counterclaims.